

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/690,554 | 10/23/2003 | Wolfgang Falb | 7083 | |
| 75 | 90 06/24/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| Wolfgang Falb | | | SOTELO, JESUS D | |
| Hubertusstr. 1 | 639 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| GERMANY | 037 | | 3617 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/690,554 | FALB, WOLFGANG | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Jesús D. Sotelo | 3617 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)□ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T | his action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 October 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | nt(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | |
| 3) Infor | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/er No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/690,554 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-4 are in the application.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 9, line 16, reference numeral "36" is used to label the coupling adapter in Fig. 4; reference numeral "36", however, has been used on page 8, line 15 to label the threaded rod. This same problem appears in the drawings. Figures 3 and 4 and a proper correction should be made.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claims 1-4 are objected to because of the following informalities: the introductory phrases, "I claim the invention of"; "I further claim the invention of"; and "I also claim the invention of " should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The manner in which the two motors disclosed with reference to figure 4 and as claimed operate the threaded rod is not clear. In particular, with reference to figure 4, it is not clear how the motor 35 engages the threaded rod being behind motor 10. The arrangement of the planetary gear and its engagement with the motor and the flange is not clear.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,554 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godfrey

in view of Bernson.

Godfrey discloses the use of a telescopic vang comprising a double acting hydraulic cylinder to

control the boom. Bernson discloses a telescopic boom and teaches the use of a threaded rod

provided for extending and collapsing the boom. In view of these disclosures, it would have

been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute for the hydraulic telescopic element of

Godfrey with a telescopic member including an electric motor actuating a threaded rod, as taught

by Bernson. The manner in which the motor is installed and the type of gearing used to connect

the threaded rod to the motor would have been obvious matters of engineering design. The

substitution of an electric motor and threaded rod for a pneumatic extensible boom would have

been an obvious matter of choice to one skilled in the art.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Danzer discloses a boat provided with a vang.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,554 Page 4

Art Unit: 3617

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jesús D. Sotelo whose telephone number is 703-308-2563. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 6:00 AM -2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3617

CPK 5-6D16 ©

sotelo;jds June 17, 2004